This paper is filed in response to the Office Action mailed January 22, 2008.

Claims 1-40, 42-46 and 48-54 are pending in the Present Application. Claims 1-11,

17-22, 24, 25, 27-30, 32, 35, 39, 40, 42-44, 46 and 48-54 are provisionally rejected on

the grounds of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting. Claims 12-16, 23, 26,

31, 33, 34, 36-38 and 45 are withdrawn from consideration. No amendments to the

Application have been made by this paper. Accordingly, reconsideration of the Present

Application is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-11, 17-22, 24, 25, 27-30, 32, 35, 39, 40, 42-44, 46 and 48-54 are

provisionally rejected on the grounds of non-statutory obviousness-type double

patenting over the claims of pending U.S. Patent Application Nos. 10/877,725;

10/984,268; 10/919,983; and 10/984,342. The Applicants are filing herewith a separate

Terminal Disclaimer for each of U.S. Patent Application Nos. 10/877,725; 10/984,268;

10/919,983; and 10/984,342, thereby mooting the provisional double patenting rejection.

Withdrawn Claims - Rejoinder

In the Amendment and Response filed on October 30, 2007, the Applicants

 $respectfully\ requested\ rejoinder\ of\ withdrawn\ claims\ 12\text{-}16,\ 23,\ 26,\ 31,\ 33,\ 34,\ 36\text{-}38$

and 45. The Office Action dated January 22, 2008 indicated that these claims remain

withdrawn from the Present Application.

SaltLake-372456.1 0011487-00026

2 of 4

Reply to Office Action of January 22, 2008

Accordingly, the Applicants again respectfully request rejoinder of claims 12-16,

23, 26, 31, 33, 34, 36-38 and 45 pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 821.04. As indicated in the

Office Action dated August 1, 2007, each of claims 1, 27 and 43 is allowable.

Therefore, because each of withdrawn claims 12-16, 23, 26, 31, 33, 34, 36-38 and 45

depends, either directly or indirectly, from an allowable independent claim and thus

requires all the limitations of the allowable base claim from which it depends, each of

the withdrawn claims is eligible for rejoinder. Applicants respectfully submit that upon

rejoinder, each of claims 12-16, 23, 26, 31, 33, 34, 36-38 and 45 will be in condition for

allowance.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, the Applicants submit that each of claims 1-40, 42-46 and 48-54 of the Present Application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. Should questions exist after consideration of the foregoing, the Office is kindly requested to contact the Applicants' attorney at the telephone number given herein.

Please continue sending all correspondence to Paul Evans at the following

address: (Customer No. 26,152).

Specialized Health Products, Inc.

c/o Intellevate P.O. Box 52050

Minneapolis, MN 55402

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin B. Laurence Registration No. 38,219 Attorney for Applicants

STOEL RIVES, LLP 201 S. Main Street. Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Telephone: (801) 578-6932 Facsimile: (801) 578-6999